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Table S1. Reaction steps involved in dissociative mechanism $\left(D_{1}-D_{4}\right)$ and associative mechanism ( $\mathrm{A}_{1}-\mathrm{A}_{5}$ ).

| Mechanism | Reaction steps |
| :---: | :---: |
| Dissociative mechanism | $1 / 2 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+* \rightarrow 1 / 2 * \mathrm{O}_{2}\left(\mathrm{D}_{1}\right)$ |
|  | $1 / 2 * \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+* \rightarrow * \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{D}_{2}\right)$ |
|  | * $\mathrm{O}+\mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow{ }^{*} \mathrm{OH}\left(\mathrm{D}_{3}\right)$ |
|  | ${ }^{*} \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})+{ }^{*}\left(\mathrm{D}_{4}\right)$ |
| Associative mechanism: | $\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+{ }^{*} \rightarrow{ }^{*} \mathrm{O}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)$ |
|  | ${ }^{*} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{+}+\mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow * \mathrm{OOH}\left(\mathrm{A}_{2}\right)$ |
|  | ${ }^{*} \mathrm{OOH}+\mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})+{ }^{*} \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{A}_{3}\right)$ |
|  | * $\mathrm{O}+\mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow$ * $\mathrm{OH}\left(\mathrm{A}_{4}\right)$ |
|  | $* \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})+*\left(\mathrm{~A}_{5}\right)$ |



Figure S1. DFT-generated free energy landscapes at $U=1.23 \mathrm{~V}_{\text {RHE }}$ for ORR on unstrained Pt (111) surfaces via (A) dissociative and (B) associative mechanism.


Figure S2. Eigenforce model-generated interaction energies as a function of 2D strain for (A) ${ }^{*} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, (B) *OOH, (C) *O and (D) *OH.


Figure S3. Computationally generated free energy landscapes at $U=1.23 \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{RHE}}$ for ORR on $\mathrm{L} 1_{0^{-}}$ strained and unstrained Pt (111) surfaces via (A) dissociative and (B) associative mechanisms. The unstrained Pt surface data was generated using DFT calculations, while the eigenforce model was used for $\mathrm{L} 1_{0}$-strained Pt surfaces.

Table S2. Calculated change in free energies for the steps involved in the dissociative mechanism on strained $\mathrm{L} 1_{0}$ and unstrained fcc Pt (111) surfaces. Note that the values obtained for unstrained Pt are through DFT calculations while the eigenforce model was used for the strained surfaces. The step highlighted in bold denotes the potential-limiting step.

| System | $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{1}}(\mathbf{e V})$ | $\mathbf{D}_{2}(\mathbf{e V})$ | $\mathbf{D}_{3}(\mathbf{e V})$ | $\mathbf{D}_{4}(\mathbf{e V})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unstrained Pt | -0.350 | -0.630 | 0.470 | $\mathbf{0 . 5 1 0}$ |
| $\mathrm{~L} 1_{0}-\mathrm{CoNiPt}$ | -0.071 | -0.484 | 0.21 | $\mathbf{0 . 3 4 2}$ |
| $\mathrm{~L} 1_{0}-\mathrm{CoPt}$ | -0.056 | -0.503 | 0.213 | $\mathbf{0 . 3 4 9}$ |
| $\mathrm{~L} 1_{0}-\mathrm{CoFePt}$ | -0.105 | -0.520 | 0.254 | $\mathbf{0 . 3 7 2}$ |
| $\mathrm{~L} 1_{0}-\mathrm{CoCuPt}$ | -0.179 | -0.505 | 0.289 | $\mathbf{0 . 3 9 5}$ |
| $\mathrm{~L} 1_{0}-\mathrm{CoMnPt}$ | -0.162 | -0.533 | 0.296 | $\mathbf{0 . 3 9 9}$ |
| $\mathrm{~L} 1_{0}-\mathrm{CoZnPt}$ | -0.237 | -0.529 | 0.339 | $\mathbf{0 . 4 2 7}$ |

Table S3. Calculated change in free energies for the steps involved in the associative mechanism on strained $\mathrm{L} 1_{0}$ and unstrained fcc Pt (111) surfaces. Note that the values obtained for unstrained Pt are through DFT calculations while the eigenforce model was used for the strained surfaces. The step highlighted in bold denotes the potential-limiting step.

| System | $A_{1}(\mathrm{eV})$ | $A_{2}(\mathrm{eV})$ | $\mathrm{A}_{3}(\mathrm{eV})$ | $\mathrm{A}_{4}(\mathrm{eV})$ | $\mathrm{A}_{5}(\mathrm{eV})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unstrained Pt | -0.350 | 0.850 | -1.480 | 0.470 | 0.510 |
| $\mathrm{L} 1_{0}$-CoNiPt | -0.071 | 0.737 | -1.221 | 0.21 | 0.342 |
| $\mathrm{L} 1_{0}-\mathrm{CoPt}$ | -0.056 | 0.723 | -1.226 | 0.213 | 0.349 |
| $\mathrm{L} 1_{0}$ - CoFePt | -0.105 | 0.746 | -1.266 | 0.254 | 0.372 |
| $\mathrm{L} 1_{0}-\mathrm{CoCuPt}$ | -0.179 | 0.792 | -1.297 | 0.289 | 0.395 |
| $\mathrm{L1}_{0}$-CoMnPt | -0.162 | 0.774 | -1.307 | 0.296 | 0.399 |
| $\mathrm{L} 1_{0}-\mathrm{CoZnPt}$ | -0.237 | 0.817 | -1.346 | 0.339 | 0.427 |



Figure S4. TEM images of as-synthesized (A) $\mathrm{Co}_{1} \mathrm{Ni}_{2} \mathrm{Pt}_{3}$, (B) $\mathrm{Co}_{2} \mathrm{Ni}_{1} \mathrm{Pt}_{3}$ and (C) $\mathrm{Ni}_{1} \mathrm{Pt}_{1} \mathrm{NPs}$.


Figure S5. XRD patterns of $\mathrm{Ni}_{1} \mathrm{Pt}_{1}, \mathrm{Co}_{1} \mathrm{Ni}_{2} \mathrm{Pt}_{3}, \mathrm{Co}_{1} \mathrm{Ni}_{1} \mathrm{Pt}_{2}, \mathrm{Co}_{2} \mathrm{Ni}_{1} \mathrm{Pt}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{Co}_{1} \mathrm{Pt}_{1} \mathrm{NPs}^{2}$ annealed under $95 \%$ $\mathrm{Ar}+5 \% \mathrm{H}_{2}$ at $650^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 h .

Table S4. Composition ratio and Pt loading of C-loaded $\mathrm{L} 1_{0}$-NPs after post-acid treatment and annealing calculated from ICP results.

| Sample | composition | Pt loading $(\mathrm{mg})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{L} 1_{0}-\mathrm{CoPt}$ | $\mathrm{Co}_{48} \mathrm{Pt}_{52}$ | 11.7 |
| $\mathrm{~L} 1_{0}-\mathrm{CoMnPt}$ | $\mathrm{Co}_{28} \mathrm{Mn}_{20} \mathrm{Pt}_{52}$ | 10.8 |
| $\mathrm{~L} 1_{0}-\mathrm{CoFePt}$ | $\mathrm{Co}_{25} \mathrm{Fe}_{30} \mathrm{Pt}_{45}$ | 14.4 |
| $\mathrm{~L} 1_{0}-\mathrm{CoNiPt}$ | $\mathrm{Co}_{29} \mathrm{Ni}_{25} \mathrm{Pt}_{46}$ | 12.6 |
| $\mathrm{~L} 1_{0}-\mathrm{CoCuPt}$ | $\mathrm{Co}_{25} \mathrm{Cu}_{27} \mathrm{Pt}_{47}$ | 10.8 |
| $\mathrm{~L} 1_{0}-\mathrm{CoZnPt}$ | $\mathrm{Co}_{19} \mathrm{Zn}_{20} \mathrm{Pt}_{61}$ | 12.1 |



Figure S6. TEM images of C-loaded (A) L1 10 -CoPt NPs, (B) L1 $1_{0}$-CoMnPt NPs, (C) L1 $1_{0}$-CoFePt NPs, (D) $\mathrm{L} 1_{0}$-CoNiPt NPs, (E) L1 $1_{0}$-CoCuPt and (F) L1 $1_{0}$-CoZnPt NPs after annealing treatment.


Figure S7. Atomic resolution elemental mapping images showing the alternative layers of Pt and Co/Ni.


Figure S8. A HAADF image and a line-scan profile showing the distribution of Pt , Co and Ni components in core/shell structured $\mathrm{L} 1_{0}-\mathrm{CoNiPt}$ NPs.


Figure S9. EXAFS experimental data and fitting results of Co K-edge, Ni K-edge and Pt $\mathrm{L}_{3}$-edge. (A)-(C) FT-EXAFS spectra and best fit. (D)-(F) Contour map of k-space data. (G)-(I) k-space data and best fit.

Table S5 Fitting parameters, including coordination number (CN) and bond length (R), obtained from the fitting results of $\mathrm{Pt}_{\mathrm{L}_{3}}$-edge EXAFS data.

| bond | $C N$ | $R(A ̊)$ | $\sigma^{2}(\AA ̊) x 10^{-3}$ | $E_{0}(\mathrm{eV})$ | $R$ <br> factor |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pt-Pt | $6(2)$ | $2.69(1)$ | $4(2)$ | $6(1)$ | 0.0138 |
| Pt-Co/Ni | $5(2)$ | $2.652(6)$ | $6(3)$ | $6(1)$ | 0.0138 |



Figure S10. Cyclic voltammetry curves of C-L1 ${ }_{0}$-CoMPt NPs obtained in $\mathrm{N}_{2}$-saturated $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HClO}_{4}$ at room temperature.


Figure S11. (A) Cyclic voltammetry curve of commercial $\mathrm{Pt} / \mathrm{C}$ obtained in $\mathrm{N}_{2}$-saturated 0.1 M HClO 4 at room temperature. (B) Linear scanning voltammetry curve of commercial $\mathrm{Pt} / \mathrm{C}$ collected in $\mathrm{O}_{2^{-}}$ saturated $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HClO}_{4}$ at room temperature. (C) Mass activity and specific activity calculated on commercial Pt/C.

Table S6. DFT optimized lattice constants using RPBE exchange-correlation functional.

| System | $\mathbf{a ~ ( \AA ̊ ) ~}$ | $\mathbf{b}(\AA \AA)$ | $\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{A})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unstrained Pt | 3.99 | 3.99 | 3.99 |
| L1 $1_{0}-$ CoZnPt | 3.97 | 3.97 | 3.70 |
| $\mathrm{~L} 1_{0}-\mathrm{CoMnPt}$ | 3.90 | 3.90 | 3.80 |
| $\mathrm{~L} 1_{0}-\mathrm{CoCuPt}$ | 3.94 | 3.94 | 3.66 |
| $\mathrm{~L} 1_{0}-\mathrm{CoFePt}$ | 3.86 | 3.86 | 3.82 |
| $\mathrm{~L} 1_{0}-\mathrm{CoPt}$ | 3.83 | 3.83 | 3.78 |
| $\mathrm{~L} 1_{0}-\mathrm{CoNiPt}$ | 3.87 | 3.87 | 3.69 |

